

Density Matrix Renormalization Group study of the t - J_z Ladder

A. Weisse, R. J. Bursill, C. J. Hamer and Zheng Weihong

*School of Physics, The University of New South Wales,
Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia*

The phase diagram of the two-leg t - J_z ladder is explored, using the density matrix renormalization group method. Results are obtained for energy gaps, electron density profiles and correlation functions for the half-filled and quarter-filled cases. A speculative phase diagram is presented, which is quite similar to the full t - J ladder, but scaled up by a factor of about two in coupling.

1. Introduction

The t - J_z model is a simplified model of strongly correlated itinerant electrons on a lattice, like the Hubbard and t - J models, which is of interest in connection with the cuprate high- T_c superconductors [1]. Here we study the model on a two-leg ladder (Figure 1). The Hamiltonian is

$$H = J \sum_i S_i^z S_{i+1}^z - t \sum_i (c_i^\dagger c_{i+1} + \text{h.c.})$$

where $\{i,j\}$ are nearest-neighbour pairs of sites, t is the hopping parameter, and the J term is an Ising-type antiferromagnetic coupling between neighbouring electrons. Two electrons are forbidden to occupy the same site (on-site repulsion).




Fig 1. The t - J_z ladder

We treat the model using the density matrix renormalization group method, on ladders of up to 128 rungs. A selection of results is shown.

2. Near Half-Filling

At half-filling (one electron per site), the spins are Néel ordered with a finite spin gap, as in the Ising model. Figure 2 shows the binding energies for two-hole states for $S^z = 0, 1$, and samples of the electron density profiles. At $J = 0.5$ the holes are separate and unbound; at $J = 1$ they form a bound pair. Pairing in the $S^z = 1$ channel vanishes below $J=1.8$, and for the $S^z = 0$ channel below $J = 0.6$.




Fig. 2. Two-hole binding energies and electron density profiles at half-filling.

Figure 3 shows the four-hole binding energy, which is non-zero above $J = 4$, taken to indicate phase separation into hole-rich and hole-poor regions. The density profiles illustrate three regimes: 4 separate holes at $J = 0.5$, two pairs at $J = 1.0$ and a 4-hole cluster at $J = 6.0$.




Fig.3. Four-hole binding energy and density profiles at half-filling.

3. Near Quarter-Filling




Fig. 4. The spin gap at quarter-filling.

At quarter-filling, results are less reliable. Figure 4 shows the spin gap, compatible with zero everywhere. Figure 5 shows the estimated two-hole gap, apparently zero beyond $J = 2.4$. The correlation functions are solid-like for smaller J , compatible with a *charge density wave* state, but liquid-like for larger J , possibly indicating the onset of phase separation.




Fig. 5. The two-hole gap at quarter-filling.

Conclusions

The phase diagram for the model appears very similar to that of the full t - J ladder [2-5]. Near half-filling, there is a Luther-Emery phase with gapless charge excitations and gapped spin excitations, which is expected to show superconducting correlations. The effective velocity parameter v_ρ is found to vanish at half-filling. Near quarter-filling, there is a Tomonaga-Luttinger phase, with one gapless charge and one gapless spin excitation. At commensurate filling factors, a charge density wave state appears at low J . Question: is there a Nagaoka phase at small J near half-filling, and if so, what is the behaviour in that phase?

Acknowledgments

This work forms part of a research project supported by the Australian Research Council. We are grateful for the computing resources provided by the Australian Partnership for Advanced Computing (APAC) National Facility, and by the Australian Centre for Advanced Computing and Communications (AC3).

References

- [1] E. Dagotto and T.M. Rice, *Science* **271**, 618 (1990).
- [2] M. Troyer, H. Tsunetsugu and T.M. Rice, *Phys. Rev.* **B53**, 251 (1996).
- [3] C.A. Hayward and D. Poilblanc, *Phys. Rev.* **B53**, 11721 (1996).
- [4] T.F.A. M{"u}ller and T.M. Rice, *Phys. Rev.* **B58**, 3425 (1998).
- [5] S.R. White and I. Affleck, *Phys. Rev.* **B64**, 02411 (2001).