

Sr₂FeMoO₆ Double Perovskites and the Effects of Electronic Doping by La Substitution

E.K. Hemery^{a,b}, G.V.M. Williams^{a,b}, and H.J. Trodahl^a

^a *MacDiarmid Institute for Advanced Materials and Nanotechnology, Victoria University, Wellington, New Zealand*

^b *Industrial Research, P.O. Box 31310, Lower Hutt, New Zealand.*

We have prepared Sr_{2-x}La_xFeMoO₆ samples with various amount of Lanthanum from x = 0 to 0.5. Magnetic and transport measurements show that the magneto-resistance, degree of electronic spin polarization and the saturation magnetization are correlated with the amount of anti-site disorder rather than the changing carrier concentration.

1. Introduction

There has been recent interest in the half-metallic double perovskites of the type A₂BB'O₆ due to their large magneto-resistance and high Curie temperatures [1]. These properties indicate that this compound has potential magneto-electronic applications [2]. Band structure calculations predict 100 % spin polarization at the Fermi level with an admixture of Mo(*t_{2g}*) and Fe(*t_{2g}*) orbitals containing down-spin itinerant electrons while the up-spin Fe³⁺ 3d⁵ electrons are localized within Fe(*t_{2g}*) and (*e_g*) orbitals [1]. Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain the half-metallicity and high Curie temperature but there are some experimental results that question the existing models [3].

2. Experimental details

Double perovskite polycrystalline samples were prepared by a solid-state reaction method from stoichiometric mixes of Sr(NO₃)₂, Fe₂O₃, MoO₃ and La(NO₃)₂·6H₂O. The pellets were placed in air at 1200 °C and then sintered at 1100 °C in an atmosphere of 5% H₂ – 95% N₂ [4]. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements showed that all samples were single phase to within the limit of detectability. Magnetization measurements were conducted up to 6 T and 700 K using a Superconducting QUantum Interference Devices (SQUID) magnetometer. The magneto-resistance (MR) was measured using the four terminal method in a magnetic field up to 0.8 T. Zero-field nuclear magnetic resonance (ZFNMR) measurements were made a TecMag spectrometer and a Janis continuous flow cryostat. The spectra were obtained at discrete frequency steps using a Hahn echo sequence, $\tau_{\pi/2}-\tau-\tau_{\pi}-\tau$ where the pulses $\tau_{\pi/2}$ and τ_{π} were 1 μ s and 2 μ s respectively and τ (20 μ s) was the time between the pulses.

3. Results

There is an increase in the amount of anti-site disorder (ASD) with increasing La concentration as estimated from the relative intensities of the (111) superstructure and the (220) XRD peaks [4]. The ASD provides information about the Fe and Mo ordering from perfect ordering (0%) to complete disorder (50%). Fig. 1(a) shows the magnetization at 6 T from 10 to 300 K. We have fitted the low temperature magnetization data to $M(T) = M_0(1 - a_0 T^n)$ and found $n = 1.5$ for all the La-concentrations. This value is expected in the 3D Heisenberg model. In addition, we observed a small variation in a_0 . The inset of

Fig.1(a) clearly shows that the saturation magnetization is directly correlated with the amount of ASD, which has also been observed by other groups in pure compound [5,6].




Fig. 1. (a) Temperature dependence of the magnetization in units of Bohr magnetons, μ_B , per formula unit (f.u.) at 6 T. Inset: saturation magnetization at 10 K versus ASD for the $\text{Sr}_{2-x}\text{La}_x\text{FeMoO}_6$ samples. (b) The ZFNMR peak frequency against temperature for the pure sample.

The ZFNMR spectra in the pure compound are similar to those in a previous report and the spectra are believed to be dominated by hyperfine coupling from a static moment on the Mo site to the Mo nucleus [7]. The resultant ZFNMR peak frequency is plotted in Fig. 1b and fitted to $F = F_0(1 - b_0T^n)$. We find that $n = 1.84$, which is significantly different from the $n = 1.5$ observed in the magnetization data.




Fig. 2. Magnetization against temperature at 6 T. Inset: effective moment versus La concentration. Dotted lines are the theoretical values for $S = 5/2$ (Fe^{3+}), $S = 2$ ($\text{Fe}^{2+/4+}$), and $S = 3/2$ for comparison purpose.

Fig. 2 shows the high temperature magnetization data. As can be seen from the inset, the effective moment, P_{eff} , measured in the Curie-Weiss region drops systematically with increasing La-doping from 5.52 to 4.31. By comparing the theoretical and experimental data, it is evident that the change in P_{eff} cannot originate from only the Fe valence.

The magneto-resistance can be written as $MR = -\Delta\rho / \rho = (\rho(0) - \rho(H)) / \rho(0)$ where $\rho(0)$ is the resistivity measured without any magnetic field and $\rho(H)$ is the resistivity under

an applied magnetic field. As can be seen from Fig. 3, there is a systematic drop in the MR with increasing electronic doping by La. The MR can be modelled by a spin dependent tunnelling across an intergranular insulating region term, $-\gamma^2 m(H)^2$, and an intragranular term αH , where γ is the degree of spin polarization, $m(H)$ is the magnetization divided by the saturation magnetization and α is field-independent [8,9]. We found that γ determined by fitting the MR to this model correlates well with the amount of ASD (Fig. 3 inset). Low values of γ at room temperature are also observed in the pure compound by other researchers and it has been attributed to a disordered region near the grain boundary that decreases γ below that expected from the bulk intragranular region [9].




Fig. 3. Magneto-resistance versus applied magnetic field at room temperature. The dotted curves are fits to the spin dependent tunnelling model [9]. Inset: Spin polarization versus ASD.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge funding support from the New Zealand Marsden Fund, the MacDiarmid Institute and the Royal Society of New Zealand. The assistance of J. Haase and NMR facilities of the IFW, Dresden are greatly appreciated.

References

- [1] K. -I. Kobayashi, T. Kimura, H. Sawada, K. Terakura, and Y. Tokura, *Nature* **395**, 677 (1998).
- [2] G. A. Prinz, *Science* **282**, 1660 (1998).
- [3] J. B. Philipp, P. Majewski, D. Reisinger, S. Geprägs, M. Opel, A. Erb, L. Alff, and R. Gross, *Acta Physica Polonica A* **105**, 7 (2004).
- [4] E. K. Hemery, G. V. M. Williams, and H. J. Trodahl, *Phys. Rev. B*, submitted.
- [5] LI. Balcells, J. Navarro, M. Bibes, A. Roig, B. Martinez, and J. Fontcuberta, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **78**, 781 (2001).
- [6] A. S. Ogale, S. B. Ogale, R. Ramesh, and T. Venkatesen, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **75**, 537 (1999).
- [7] M. Wojcik, E. Jedryka, S. Nadolski, J. Navarro, D. Rubi, and J. Fontcuberta, *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 100407(R) (2004).
- [8] J. Inoue and S. Maekawa, *Phys. Rev. B* **53**, R11927 (1996).
- [9] D. Serrate, J. M. De Teresa, P. A. Algarabel, M. R. Ibarra, and J. Galibert, *Phys. Rev. B* **71**, 104409 (2005).